

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/770,100	RACHEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frederick L. Lagman	3673	

All Participants:

(1) Frederick L. Lagman.

(2) Christian Heausler.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was suggested that claims 1 and 10, include in the preamble that the apparatus is adapted to be placed on the seabed to distinguish the apparatus from apparatus that may be used out of the water i.e. on land.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)